

## Troilus Gold Corporation (TLG CN)

# Initiation & site visit: Monster Au-Cu developer in Quebec >8Moz

RECOMMENDATION: **BUY**

PRICE TARGET: **C\$2.80/sh**

RISK RATING: **HIGH**

### SHARE DATA

Shares (basic, FD)	200 / 211 / 317
Share price (C\$/sh)	C\$0.80/sh
52-week high/low	C\$1.29 / C\$0.66
Market cap (C\$m)	160
Est. cash @ 1Q22	30
1.0xNAV5% @ US\$1850/oz (C\$m)*	1,649
1.0xNAV5% FD (C\$/sh)*	7.73
Project P/NAV today (x, FD)	0.10x
Average daily value (C\$000, 3M)	0

### FINANCIALS


	CY22E	CY23E	CY24E
Gold sold (000oz)	-	-	-
Revenue (C\$m)	-	-	-
AISC (US\$/oz)	-	-	-
Income (C\$m)	(29)	(22)	(28)
EPS (C\$)	-	-	-
PER (x)	-	-	-
CFPS (C\$)	-	-	-
P/CF (x)	-	-	-
EBITDA (C\$m)	(7.3)	(7.3)	(7.3)
EV/EBITDA (x)	-	-	-

### TIME VALUE: 1850/oz

	Apr-22	Apr-23	Apr-24
1xNAV5% FF FD (C\$m)	1,278	1,406	1,479
1xNAV5% FF FD (C\$/sh)	6.39	7.16	7.53

### TIME VALUE: 2050/oz

	Apr-22	Apr-23	Apr-24
1xNAV5% FF FD (C\$m)	1,574	1,717	1,805
1xNAV5% FF FD (C\$/sh)	7.87	8.74	9.19



Source: Factset

**Brock Salier** +44 7400 666 913 [bsalier@sprott.com](mailto:bsalier@sprott.com)

**Justin Chan** +44 7554 784 688 [jchan@sprott.com](mailto:jchan@sprott.com)

**Brandon Gaspar** +1 437 533 3142 [bgaspar@sprott.com](mailto:bgaspar@sprott.com)

**Eleanor Magdzinski** +1 705 669 7456 [emagdzinski@sprott.com](mailto:emagdzinski@sprott.com)

### 'King of the North': Quebec developer seeking 10Moz

The Troilus Gold Project, in northern Quebec, hosts a global **8.1Moz @ 0.9g/t AuEq** 3Q20 MRE, which saw 5.1Moz @ 0.8g/t AuEq in 4Q20 PEA inventory, supporting >210koz AuEq pa from a large 12.6Mtpa combined OP + UG for long 22-year life. Initial capex of US\$333m and US\$850/oz AISC drives a published after-tax **NPV<sub>5%-1950</sub> of C\$1,156m**. The company has been aggressively drilling to expand / infill resources ahead of the 2Q22 MRE update to feed the 3Q22 PFS focused solely on pit mining. With the scale and valuation to attract M&A already, location on infrastructure to drive margin on low-grade pits, plus underground / regional upside, we see 2022 as transformative for Troilus.

### Big strides over 2020-2021 to feed upcoming MRE / PFS this year

We think the upcoming PFS will reap the rewards of the 2.5% royalty buyback in late 2020 and >100km of post PEA drilling that should see (i) a lift in MRE and pit inventory, (ii) higher grades from a larger SW Zone start pit and (iii) lower LOM strip. Better still, the company strengthened its build team, adding Richard Harrison (P.Eng) as COO (ex. Director of Projects at Canadian Malartic) to lead the engineering and development.

### SCPe production >230koz Au pa with copper optionality

We estimate 4.6Moz @ 0.75g/t AuEq of pittable inventory and 3.6:1 strip ahead of the PFS, for production >255koz pa AuEq at ~US\$880/oz over a 15-year mine life for C\$1.5bn NPV<sub>5%-1850-4.00</sub> excluding the UG, leaving room for LT upside and net of a material lift in capital costs estimates. The 'cherry' on top is the >C\$1.5bn from copper revenue at spot >US\$4.50/lb Cu, which we think should be of interest to streamers.

### Belt control (1,420km<sup>2</sup>) offers discovery upside towards 15Moz

Troilus' is 'King of the North' with 1,420km<sup>2</sup> of little-explored Archean Greenstone Belt with a pipeline of targets to see follow up this year, including Beyan (9.7-32.5g/t Au grabs), Testard (8.3-203g/t Au grabs), and our personal favourite Pallador Regnault next to Sumitomo's **8.4m @ 29.1g/t** greenfield discovery. Combined with a disciplined track record of oz growth (+195% since 2016), we think this is an overlooked growth story with no signs of slowing down.


### Initiate at BUY with C\$2.80/sh PT; C\$6.25/sh FD production 1xNAV

This is a drill bit story, backstopped by existing endowment, so price drivers are more ounces and re-rate to DFS / M&A / build. As such, we initiate coverage with a BUY rating and C\$2.80/sh PT based on 0.3xNAV<sub>5%-1850-4.00</sub>, adding only a nominal C\$15m to exploration plus US\$25/oz to resources outside inventory. Put simply, Troilus is among the few advanced large bulk tonnage gold projects in Canada on infrastructure, not under a lake or river, located in high altitudes, or near provincial parks / major wildlife habitats. Thus, we think it will be a mine' this cycle, not the next—making this a conviction name for us.

## The Troilus Gold Project Summary

Troilus has a global resource of 8.1Moz @ 0.9g/t AuEq on infrastructure in northern Quebec, including pit constrained 6.5Moz @ 0.8g/t AuEq, which fed the 4Q20 PEA for >246koz Au pa from combined OP and UG production in the first 14 years, mainly focused around / below historical pit areas, with the exception of the newly discovered Southwest Zone (SW) ~2.5km away. The large 1,420km<sup>2</sup> land package consists of several brownfield and regional exploration targets. An aggressive post PEA >100,000m drill program is nearing completion, focused on resource expansion / infill ahead of the updated 2Q22 MRE and 3Q22 PFS, while concurrently advancing permitting, geotechnical and metallurgical work. Key here is that the PFS will contemplate an open pit only scenario targeting >220koz pa Au and should benefit from higher metal prices, expanded resource base (>2 years of drilling) and the 2.5% royalty buyback. Simultaneously, higher-grade satellites common to the belt are being targeted.

Figure 1. Troilus Gold project (A) location / property map, (B) 4Q20 MRE and (C) site photos



## Corporate history

Troilus, who acquired the historic Troilus Gold Project from First Quantum in 2017 for \$300k cash + 2.5% NSR, before listing on the TSXV in 2018, has been one of the most aggressive juniors out the gate. They have grown global resources by +195% to 8.1Moz AuEq (61% M&I) from 80,500m drilled over 2018-2020, and >200km to date. This attracted all the 'Quebec ink' to the register, including Investissement Quebec and FTQ. President / CEO Justin Reid pulled together today's technically driven management team, including VPX Blake Hylands, SVP Technical Services Ian Pritchard, and most recently COO Richard Harrison (ex. Director of Projects at Canadian Malartic) to lead the feasibility and development. The initial 35,000m program was proof of concept, mainly focused on expanding resources around the historic pits, but the real step change came with the higher-grade southwest satellite discovery, and J Zone pit expansions, which ignited aggressive infill for inclusion in the upcoming PFS. Last year, the acquisition of neighbour UrbanGold unlocked the district scale potential for Troilus, expanding land holdings to 1,420km<sup>2</sup>, with multiple near mine satellite targets plus regional high-grade targets near Sumitomo's 5.7m @ 90.6g/t and 15.4m @ 18g/t Regnault discovery last year.

Figure 2. Troilus Gold's share price and market cap 2018-present




Source: Bloomberg, Factset, Troilus

2020 MRE / PEA outlines one of the largest undeveloped gold-copper deposits in Quebec

After two years of drilling, Troilus' 3Q20 MRE saw growth to 8.1Moz AuEq for PEA inventory of 5.1Moz @ 0.8g/t AuEq (64% pitable) for mid-tier scale gold production of 246koz pa in the first 14yrs from combined OP and UG (~210koz AuEq pa over 22 yrs LOM), making Troilus one of the few development projects of this scale in Canada. Whilst the UG inclusion lifts overall grade and maximizes mine life for published NPV<sub>5%-1950</sub> of C\$1,156m for demonstrated LT value at conservative metal prices, the OP inventory of >3.3Moz @ 0.7g/t AuEq outlines sole pit production >220koz Au pa in Y1-5, and in our view is the driver of value in the near term. Hence, we are delighted that subsequent drilling and engineering has been focused on de-risking / optimizing the open pit potential.


Figure 3. Troilus Gold's 4Q20 PEA base case UG + OP production profile (Au/Ag oz LHS, Cu lbs RHS)



New higher grade SW Zone expansions and starter pit a potential 'game changer'

Southwest (SW) was discovered in late 2019 and saw only 8,500m drilled for maiden 583koz @ 0.8g/t AuEq inferred in the 3Q20 MRE, and consequently didn't see a meaningful contribution to the PEA. However, the multi-million-ounce potential was realized quickly thereafter, with the discovery of impressive parallel zones returning bulk 21m @ 1.7g/t AuEq and 33m @ 1.7g/t AuEq. Attractive is the >1g/t AuEq grade, higher than all other deposits in the existing MRE, prompting a more aggressive focus. Southwest has seen >40,000m drilled over 2021 and has doubled in strike to 2km, so we expect to see a lift in the upcoming MRE. Better still, mineralization outcrops for potential higher-grade starter pit and quicker payback, prompting Troilus to dedicate 5 rigs to accelerate infill for PFS inclusion. In our view, booking ~1g/t AuEq at a lower strip vs 0.6g/t in Y1-3 PEA should materially impact the NPV, if only for a few years.

Figure 4. Southwest Zone (A) plan view, (B) long section, (C) cross sections and (D) isometric view of MRE pit





**Belt control grows from 1,070km<sup>2</sup> to 1,420km<sup>2</sup> with Urban Gold acquisition in 2021**

Exploration ground is now as scarce and strategic as skilled drillers and rigs. The Yilgarn and the Abitibi, alongside the Keniba Inlier of Mali and the Man Shield of Ghana were/are, and always will be the most prolifically mineralised Archean gold belts globally, and the Abitibi Gold Belt from Ontario to Quebec is one of the richest trends within the richest cratons, deserved of a strategic premium. With O3 and Probe controlling some of the best parts of Val d'Or along the main trend, Troilus' is 'King of the North' with 1,420km<sup>2</sup> of Frôtet-Evans Greenstone Belt i.e the same Archean rocks, just less explored. With existing visibility on 10Moz, a 'blue sky 15Moz target' doesn't seem like such a stretch with this much ground to test. Hence we think Troilus' exploration upside is not only compelling but comes with relatively lower risk as the existing endowment underpins the current market cap for good downside protection, leaving plenty of runway to step out along the belt. Recall management has a demonstrated track record of growing ounces to date, so we think this makes the case that Troilus is one of the best-overlooked exploration opportunities right now. Also, the strategic value here shouldn't be underestimated as mid-tier scale, 200-300koz Au pa capable, advanced stage development assets remain a major scarcity in Canada, but they rarely come with belt control.

Unlike many peer developers, Troilus keeps advancing exploration regionally. This year will see follow up programs at Beyan (9.7-32.5g/t Au grabs), Testard (8.3-203g/t Au grabs), and our personal favourite Pallador Regnault next to Sumitomo's **8.4m @ 29.1g/t** greenfield discovery in 2020 north of **102g/t Au** boulders on Troilus's property (Figure 7C) points to the high-grade satellite potential in this camp, not surprising though, it is an Archean Greenstone after all.

**Figure 7. (A) Regional target plan map, (B) Testard aerial view and (C) Pallador claim boundary**



## Troilus positioned to build 'this cycle' vs peer Canadian bulk tonnage developers

At the core of our investment thesis, pitable inventory is the engine room of bulk tonnage projects in our view, so we stack Troilus against peers in Table 1 below, but remove the UG resources. We see five key takeaways:

**First**, (i) whilst lower grade than peers, Troilus' existing 6.7Moz AuEq (at spot) endowment dwarfs peer projects, this feeds an already large >4.5Moz AuEq PEA pit inventory and generally speaking we would expect this number to scale back as PFS only includes reserves, but that's the beauty of Troilus' aggressive pace of drilling and focus over the last 12M— we see this converting well and growing to SCPe 4.6Moz pitable in the PFS. We think this differs from peer strategies over the years, resulting in slower growth, with many only beginning the greenfield target generation / satellite exploration now as existing 'in pit expansion' opportunities are limited. This means near term 'growth' is dependent on pit parameter adjustments (lowering cut off / lifting gold price) or costly depth extensions. Stepping back, a notable standout is Moss Lake, but we note management's goal to delineate >6Moz of pitable material is ambitious, but if achieved, depending on keeping grade >0.9g/t and strip <3:1, would be impressive but is hard to quantify at this juncture.

**Second**, (ii) Troilus' massive belt control provides prime, low risk exploration upside with the existing endowment as good downside protection. Also key, this much real estate is a real attraction for M&A buyers looking to 'nest in' for the long term. So we think this is a mining district in the making, not unlike what Benchmark is doing in BC, which recently attracted investment from Yamana.

**Third**, (iii) large endowments come with higher build costs / energy costs and thus a greater payback risk. Troilus benefits from copper optionality (SCPe 15% revenue) and US\$350m of inherited infrastructure sitting within an existing mining lease and all the operational data that comes with it, including 90% recovery and low ~12 BWI, which in our view should provide investors' confidence that there are no fatal flaws discovered at this stage.

**Fourth** (iv) qualitatively and perhaps the most critical of all is permitting; we think Troilus has the most visibility on becoming a mine this cycle, benefiting greatly from not being under a major lake / river system, or near provincial park / major wildlife habitats. **As such, we think Troilus stands out as the leading undeveloped, large scale bulk tonnage project ahead of more engineering and drilling.**

Table 1. Bulk gold developers in Ontario / Quebec seeking >1Moz pitable inventory

Asset, Location	Mayfair	First Mining	Troilus	Goldshore	Maple Gold Mines
	Fenn-Gib, ON	Springpole, ON	Troilus Gold Project, QC	Moss Lake, ON	Douay Gold, QC
Compliant open pit MRE (000oz AuEq)	2,153	5,220	6,763	2,994	2,467
Compliant open pit grade (g/t AuEq)	0.91	0.97	0.79	1.05	1.03
PEA / SCPe / Mgmt tgt inventory (000oz)	3,000	4,056	4,600	6,000	1,000
Inventory grade (g/t)	1.00	1.04	0.75	0.90	1.40
Strip (x)	3.50	2.36	3.60	2.46	6.00
Royalty (%)	5.00%	3.00%	1.00%	1.00%	1.00%
Recovery (%)	75.0%	85.7%	90.0%	81.7%	90.0%
Satellite Targets (Upside)	South Block	Cameron, P. Crow	SW, Beyan, Allonge +others	Coldstream, Iris, Hamlin	Joutel
District control (hc)	1,878	41,943	142,000	3,224	35,513
Nominal C1 cost (US\$/t <sup>^</sup> )	1077	787	1124	913	758
City (dist, km)	Matheson <b>21km</b>	Red Lake <b>110km</b>	Chibougamau <b>120km</b>	Thunder Bay <b>100km</b>	Matagami <b>55km</b>
Bond Work Index (kWh/t)	16.6 (75µm)	13.4 (150µm)	<b>11.8 (150µm)</b>	18.3 (150µm)	18.5 (75µm)
Flow sheet (type)	N/A	CIP	CIL	CIL	N/A
Market cap (C\$m)	70	214	173	33	114
Net cash (C\$m)	12	30	30	20	24
EV/pit resources (US\$/oz)	33.0	33.8	19.8	12.9	37.8
EV/SCPe inventory (US\$/oz)	23.7	43.5	29.1	6.4	93.3

Source: company data, SCP estimate using spot px; <sup>^</sup>based on fixed US\$2.50/t mining, US\$12/t G&A and processing. \*75% recovery for Mayfair, but targeting 90%

## Valuation: C\$1,506m NPV<sub>5%-1850</sub> at build start for 4.6Moz @ 0.75g/t AuEq pitable SCPe inventory

We model Troilus on a DCF basis as per the 2020 PEA open pit only case, and management's guidance as well as our own assumptions based on work completed to date. Mining & inventory: we exclude UG material from our model at this stage as we don't expect all or even a majority of the underground resources to convert to reserves in the near term, so for now we exclude this from our DCF inventory / valuation, leaving room for upside. Thus, we see pitable inventory as the key value driver and model **4.6Moz @ 0.75g/t AuEq**, slightly higher than the PEA ~4.5Moz @ 0.72g/t AuEq but likely still beatable given the aggressive conversion / expansion drilling since the

3Q20 MRE has focused on the higher grade Southwest. With an experienced mine builder / operator at the helm as COO—we expect to see optimizations of the three ‘S’s (i.e strip, stockpiles, and schedule) and mine design, difficult to estimate precisely ahead of the MRE / PFS. However, we do expect to see a larger starter pit for lower 3.6:1 LOM strip (vs PEA 3.9:1), so we model higher grades upfront ~0.9-1g/t AuEq in Y1-2, (vs PEA 0.6g/t) which should drive project NPV / IRR, if only for a few years.

**SCP production:** Our model adoptions are shown below (Table 3), with a ~15 yr life processing >12Mtpa at 90% recovery for ~255koz AuEq pa at AISC US\$876/oz LOM, but reaching 300koz AuEq pa in the early years as mining starts from the higher grade Southwest pit, then Z87 and J pits, with production / grades averaging lower to 0.70g/t AuEq Y5-15, in line with the PEA. **Costs:** We now model operating costs in line with the PEA and look to the PFS to refine our assumptions. On capex, we model C\$705m for the initial build and C\$134m for sustaining significantly higher than the PEA, on both inflation and our own conservative assumptions base on the capital intensity of peers. This drives an NPV<sub>5%-1850</sub> of C\$1.5bn at build start, or ~C\$2.4bn at first pour, which we sensitise to gold price and discount below in

Table 3. Whilst spot prices today aren’t guaranteed when in operation, copper price appreciation post PEA to >US\$4.50/lb today brings light to SCPe >C\$1.5bn revenue from Cu, which we think could be a good source of stream funding.

**Table 2. Troilus PEA vs SCP inputs & economics at SCPe US\$1,850/oz, US\$24/oz Ag, & US\$4.00/lb Cu**

Troilus Gold Project Summary	Open pit only			Troilus	SCP	Δ %
	Troilus	SCP	Δ %			
Pit inventory (000t)	194.4	191.8	-1%			
Pit AuEq grade (g/t)	0.72	0.75	4%			
Pit inventory AuEq (000oz)	4,500	4,600	2%			
Strip ratio (x)	4.1	3.6	-14%			
UG AuEq grade (g/t)	-	-	0%			
UG inventory AuEq (000oz)	-	-	0%			
Au recovery (%)	90.0%	90.0%	0%			
Throughput (ktpa)	12,600	12,118	-4%			
<b>Y1-5 Au production (koz pa)</b>	<b>215</b>	<b>228</b>	<b>6%</b>			
<b>Production Au LOM (000oz)</b>	<b>3,318</b>	<b>3,190</b>	<b>-4%</b>			
<b>Production AuEq LOM (000oz)</b>	<b>4,298</b>	<b>3,828</b>	<b>-11%</b>			
Pit mining cost (US\$/t)	2.06	2.18	5%			
UG mining cost (US\$/t)	-	-	-			
Proc. + G&A cost (US\$/t)	6.10	6.43	5%			

Troilus Gold Project Summary	Open pit only			Troilus	SCP	Δ %
	Troilus	SCP	Δ %			
C1 cost (US\$/oz AuEq)	824	831	1%			
AISC (US\$/oz AuEq)	848	876	3%			
Build capex (C\$m)	443	705	59%			
Sust capex (C\$m)	108	134	24%			
Gold price (US\$/oz)	1475	1850	25%			
Copper price (US\$/lb)	3.00	4.00	33%			
Effective tax rate (%)	-	40%	-			
USD / CAD	0.74	0.78	5%			
Discount (%)	5.0%	5.0%	-			
Royalty (%)	3.5%	1.0%	-71%			
<b>NPV post-tax (C\$m)*</b>	<b>701</b>	<b>1,506</b>	<b>115%</b>			
IRR post-tax (%)	22%	29%	28%			
Payback (years)	4.20	3.75	-11%			
Mine life (yrs)	16.00	15.00	-6%			

Source: Troilus 4Q20 PEA 'open pit only' case, SCP estimates, \*From build start, project only, excluding central G&A and finance costs

## Recommendation: Initiate coverage with BUY rating and C\$2.80/sh Price Target

To convert our NPV to a group NAV we simply add cash, cash from ITM options and a nominal US\$25/oz for the 3Moz of resources outside our SCPe inventory, including the 1.6Moz in UG resources. **As such, we initiate with a BUY rating and C\$2.80/sh PT based on 0.3xNAV<sub>5%-1850-4.00</sub> of the above scenario.** We see a positive rerating coming with infill and engineering towards DFS, and with the existing mine plan underpinning our valuation for good backstop, exploration is considerably lower risk—making this a high conviction ounce growth opportunity in our view. We have 8.1Moz AuEq ahead of an updated MRE, with drilling flat out on near mine targets including the Southwest / Gap Zone / Allonge before turning the rigs onto regional targets and known depth extensions—the upside is simply more ounces in our view. Stepping back, there is an emerging scarcity value for projects of scale in Canada, and Troilus is positioned among the **select few Canadian developers with scale capable of producing >200koz pa** for >10 years, with copper optionality the ‘cherry’ on top (SCPe ~C\$1.5bn Cu revenue at spot US\$4.50/lb).

**Table 3. SOTP valuation for Troilus Gold**

Commodity price	CY23E	CY24E	CY25E	CY26E	CY27E
Gold price LT (US\$/oz)	1,850	1,850	1,850	1,850	1,850
Copper price LT (US\$/lb)	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00
<b>SOTP project valuation*</b>	<b>C\$m</b>	<b>O/ship</b>	<b>NAVx</b>	<b>C\$/sh</b>	
Proj. @ build start (2024)	1,506	100%	0.30x	2.14	
Est. cash @ 1Q22	30	100%	1.00x	0.14	
Cash from options	2	100%	1.00x	0.01	
Regional expl. nominal upside	15	100%	1.00x	0.07	
Resources ex invnt'y @ US\$25/oz	96	100%	1.00x	0.45	
<b>Asset NAV<sub>5%</sub> US\$1850/oz</b>	<b>1,649</b>			<b>PT: 2.81</b>	
*Shares diluted for options but not mine build		Market P/NAV <sub>5%</sub> today			0.10x

Asset value: 1xNPV project @ build start (C\$m, ungeared)*					
Project NPV (C\$m)*	\$1750oz	\$1850oz	\$1950oz	\$2150oz	\$2250oz
10.0% discount	809	929	1,048	1,283	1,399
7.5% discount	1,043	1,183	1,322	1,595	1,731
5.0% discount	1,341	1,506	1,670	1,993	2,153
Ungeared project IRR:	25%	27%	29%	33%	35%
1xNAV <sub>5%-1850</sub> (C\$/sh)	\$1750oz	\$1850oz	\$1950oz	\$2150oz	\$2250oz
8.0% discount	5.30	5.94	6.58	7.83	8.45
6.5% discount	6.06	6.77	7.47	8.85	9.53
5.0% discount	6.95	7.73	8.51	10.04	10.79

\*Project level NPV, excl finance costs and central SGA, discounted to build start

## Key Risks

- Resource / reserve: There can be no guarantee that our modelled 4.6Moz AuEq inventory eventuate in the upcoming PFS, but we look to the existing 6.5Moz pit-constrained resource already at ~62% M&I and with >100km of drilling since the MRE, we expect a lift and conversion to reserves for increased confidence here. Excluding UG resources from our DCF greatly reduces the overall risk in our view.
- Permitting risk – is low given this is an historic mining area, with the exception of Southwest. Still Quebec is a tier one permitting jurisdiction for mining (>10 projects permitted in last decade in <36M time frame)
- Funding: While we apply a conservative NAV multiple, financing remains a key risk for Troilus, and of course is market dependent. With the current estimated cash position of C\$30m, aggressive drilling and DFS work ahead, we expect the company could require more cash in the short term. Construction is likely to start in 1H24 and we are modelling C\$705m build capex which is significant relative to the company's current market capitalization of ~\$180m—but likely manageable with some combination of debt and equity, and/or stream. Further, we expect a higher market cap once the DFS and regional exploration drilling are completed.

## Why we like Troilus

- 8.1Moz AuEq resource, including SCPe 4.6Moz @ 0.75g/t AuEq pitable PEA inventory in Quebec
- Demonstrated ounce growth and aggressive pace of drilling >100km post MRE
- Existing infrastructure & access to cheap hydroelectric power
- Large 1,420km<sup>2</sup> land package in prime mining district

## Catalysts

- 1Q22: permitting start
- Mid-2022: MRE update and PFS
- 1H24: SCPe DFS and construction decision
- 1H26: SCPe first production

<b>Ticker:</b> TLG CN	<b>Price / mkt cap:</b> C\$0.8/sh, C\$160m	<b>Project PNAV today:</b> 0.10x	<b>Asset:</b> Troilus Gold Project
<b>Author:</b> B. Salier / B. Gaspar	<b>Rec / xNAV PT:</b> BUY, C\$2.8/sh	<b>1xNAV<sub>1Q22</sub> FF FD:</b> C\$6.21/sh	<b>Country:</b> Canada, Quebec

Commodity price	CY23E	CY24E	CY25E	CY26E	CY27E	Resource	AuEq (koz)/AuEq (g/t)	PEA Inv.	AuEq (koz)/AuEq (g/t)	
Gold price LT (US\$/oz)	1,850	1,850	1,850	1,850	1,850	Pit constrained >0.3g/t	6480koz	0.76g/t	Pit: 3285koz 0.68g/t	
Copper price LT (US\$/lb)	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	Underground >0.9g/t	1630koz	1.77g/t	UG: 1830koz 1.35g/t	
<b>SOTP project valuation*</b>	<b>C\$m</b>	<b>O/ship</b>	<b>NAVx</b>	<b>C\$/sh</b>		Grand total MRE (61% M&I)	8110koz	0.86g/t	SCP pit inv. 4600koz 0.75g/t	
Proj. @ build start (2024)	1,506	100%	0.30x	2.14		<b>Uses of funds (C\$m)</b>			<b>Sources of funds (C\$m)</b>	
Est. cash @ 1Q22	30	100%	1.00x	0.14		Pre-prod'n capex (C\$m)	705		Cash + pre-Au options (C\$m)	32
Cash from options	2	100%	1.00x	0.01		G&A / fin. cost to 1st Au (C\$m)	69		DFS + build equity (C\$m)	317
Regional expl. nominal upside	15	100%	1.00x	0.07		Working cap (C\$m)	29		Mine build debt (C\$m)	458
Resources ex invnt'y @ US\$25/oz	96	100%	1.00x	0.45		Exploration pre-Au (C\$m)	20		<b>Total sources (C\$m)</b>	<b>807</b>
<b>Asset NAV5% US\$1850/oz</b>	<b>1,649</b>			<b>PT: 2.81</b>		<b>Peak financing (C\$m)</b>	<b>823</b>		Buffer (C\$m)	-15

\*Shares diluted for options but not mine build Market P/NAV5% today 0.10x

**Asset value: 1xNPV project @ build start (C\$m, ungeared)\***

Project NPV (C\$m)*	\$1750oz	\$1850oz	\$1950oz	\$2150oz	\$2250oz
10.0% discount	821	941	1,060	1,296	1,413
7.5% discount	1,050	1,190	1,328	1,603	1,739
5.0% discount	1,342	1,506	1,670	1,993	2,153
Ungeared project IRR:	26%	28%	30%	34%	36%
1xNAV5%-1850 (C\$/sh)	\$1750oz	\$1850oz	\$1950oz	\$2150oz	\$2250oz
8.0% discount	5.34	5.98	6.61	7.87	8.49
6.5% discount	6.09	6.79	7.49	8.87	9.56
5.0% discount	6.95	7.73	8.51	10.04	10.79

\*Project level NPV, excl finance costs and central SGA, discounted to build start

**Shares outstanding (m)**

Basic in issue (m)	199.9	Build shares @ 0.5xNAV (m)	82.0
FD with options (m)	211.3	FD mine build @ 0.5xNAV (m)	293.3

**Group valuation over time^**

	Apr-22	Apr-23	Apr-24	Apr-25	Apr-26
Troilus Project NPV (C\$m)	1,352	1,420	1,506	2,012	2,393
G&A + fin. costs (C\$m)	(217)	(206)	(202)	(190)	(155)
Net cash prior qtr (C\$m)	30.4	79.5	62.9	(112.3)	(429.7)
Cash from options (C\$m)	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5
Regional expl. nominal upside	15	15	15	15	15
Resource ex reserve (C\$m)	96.0	96.0	96.0	96.0	96.0
NAV FF FD (C\$m)	1,278	1,406	1,479	1,822	1,920

Shares in issue (m) 199.9 196.5 196.5 293.3 293.3

1xNAV5%/sh FF FD (C\$/sh) 6.39 7.16 7.53 6.21 6.55

Equity ROI from spot (% pa) 207% 98% 69%


**Geared company NAV diluted for mine build, net G&A and finance costs**

2Q26 1xNAV FF FD (C\$/sh)^	\$1750oz	\$1850oz	\$1950oz	\$2150oz	\$2250oz
10.0% discount	1,338	1,482	1,625	1,908	2,049
7.5% discount	1,517	1,677	1,837	2,152	2,308
5.0% discount	1,740	1,920	2,100	2,455	2,631
Geared project IRR:	23%	25%	27%	31%	33%

^Project NPV incl grp SG&A & fin. cost, +net cash; \*diluted for mine build equity

Production	Y1	Y2	Y3	Y4	Y5
Gold Eq production (000oz)	209	307	274	263	263
C1 cost (US\$/oz AuEq)	439	508	645	643	644
AISC cost (US\$/oz AuEq)	478	545	683	681	681

AISC = C1 + sustaining capex, Y1 = CY26



Source: SCP estimates

Ratio analysis (YT Jan 31)	CY22E	CY23E	CY24E	CY25E	CY26E
Average shares out (m)	199.9	199.9	293.3	293.3	293.3
EPS (C\$/sh)	-	-	-	0.01	0.58
CFPS (C\$/sh)	-	-	-	-	0.71
EV (C\$m)	80.4	97.1	346.9	664.3	455.6
FCF yield (%)	-	-	-	-	89%
PER (x)	-	30%	-	-	53.4x
P/CF (x)	-	-	-	-	1.1x
EV/EBITDA (x)	-	-	-	8.4x	1.2x

**Income statement (YT Jan 31)**

	CY22E	CY23E	CY24E	CY25E	CY26E
Net revenue (C\$m)	-	-	-	119.6	549.4
COGS (C\$m)	-	-	-	33.6	158.9
<b>Gross profit (C\$m)</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>86.0</b>	<b>390.5</b>
D&A, attrib (C\$m)	-	-	-	14.3	61.9
Group G&A (C\$m)	7.3	7.3	7.3	7.3	7.3
Finance cost (C\$m)	-	-	14.7	35.8	36.3
Taxes (C\$m)	-	-	-	24.3	116.0
<b>Net income (C\$m)</b>	<b>(7.3)</b>	<b>(7.3)</b>	<b>(22.0)</b>	<b>4.4</b>	<b>169.0</b>
EBITDA (C\$m)	(29.2)	(21.7)	(13.6)	78.7	383.2

**Cash flow, attrib. (YT Jan 31)**

	CY22E	CY23E	CY24E	CY25E	CY26E
EBIT (C\$m)	(7.3)	(7.3)	(7.3)	64.5	321.3
Add back D&A (C\$m)	-	-	-	14.3	61.9
Less tax (C\$m)	-	-	14.7	60.1	152.2
Change in wkg cap (C\$m)	-	(3.4)	-	(28.9)	(15.3)
Plus other non-cash (C\$m)	(13.6)	(6.0)	(29.3)	(120.2)	(304.5)
<b>Cash flow ops (C\$m)</b>	<b>(20.9)</b>	<b>(16.7)</b>	<b>(22.0)</b>	<b>(10.2)</b>	<b>215.7</b>
PP&E - build + sust. (C\$m)	-	-	(400.0)	(307.1)	(6.9)
PP&E - expl'n (C\$m)	-	-	-	-	-
<b>Cash flow inv. (C\$m)</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>(400.0)</b>	<b>(307.1)</b>	<b>(6.9)</b>
Share issue (C\$m)	70.0	-	246.8	-	-
Debt draw (repay) (C\$m)	-	-	366.7	91.7	(38.2)
<b>Cash flow fin. (C\$m)</b>	<b>70.0</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>613.5</b>	<b>91.7</b>	<b>(38.2)</b>
Net change in cash (C\$m)	49.1	(16.7)	191.5	(225.7)	170.5


**Balance sheet**

	CY22E	CY23E	CY24E	CY25E	CY26E
Cash (C\$m)	79.5	62.9	254.4	28.7	199.2
Acc rec., inv, prepaid (C\$m)	6.4	6.4	6.4	46.3	69.7
PP&E + other (C\$m)	6.9	6.9	406.9	699.8	644.8
<b>Total assets (C\$m)</b>	<b>92.8</b>	<b>76.2</b>	<b>667.6</b>	<b>774.8</b>	<b>913.6</b>
Debt (C\$m)	-	-	366.7	458.3	420.1
Accounts payable (C\$m)	4.0	0.6	0.6	11.6	19.7
Others (C\$m)	8.7	8.7	8.7	8.7	8.7
Total liabilities (C\$m)	12.6	9.3	375.9	478.6	448.5
Sh'hlds equity + wrmts (C\$m)	247.7	256.1	509.2	509.2	509.2
Retained earnings + rsvs (C\$m)	(167.6)	(189.2)	(217.5)	(213.1)	(44.0)
<b>Liabilities + equity (C\$m)</b>	<b>92.8</b>	<b>76.2</b>	<b>667.6</b>	<b>774.8</b>	<b>913.6</b>

## APPENDIX: TROILUS GOLD PROPERTY

The 100% owned Troilus Gold Project is located in central Quebec and lies 120km north of the town of Chibougamau. The project is comprised of a single active Mining Lease and 1,988 mineral claims covering 107,321 ha. The historic Troilus open pit mine processed ~70Mt @ 1.07g/t Au, 1.2g/t Ag, and 0.1% Cu between November 1996 - June 2010 for total production of **2Moz Au, 2.2Moz Ag, and ~70kt Cu**. Production ceased in 2010 due to commodity pricing and the company shifting focus to other assets. Following this, the mill was sold and shipped to Mexico, and the main camp facilities were dismantled. Infrastructure in place today includes +85km of power lines, a 50MW substation sourcing hydroelectric power, main offices, core shack, water treatment facility, permitted TSF and 60-person camp.

Figure 8. (A) Regional geology and project location & infrastructure




## Geology

**Regional:** The Frotêt Evans Greenstone Belt shares all of the typical geological characteristics as the well-endowed Abitibi Belt to the south (i.e. hosted in the same age and greenschist style of mineralization, along the same West-East trend, and within the same alteration, deformation, etc) spanning >300km between James Bay and Mistassini Lake.

**Project geology:** The Troilus deposit is located in the northeastern region of the Frotêt-Troilus domain, and is hosted by volcanic rocks situated within the northern limb of the Troilus isoclinal syncline, transposed by a series of NE-SW striking thrust fault zones, and parallel to the main regional foliation. **Mineralization:** Troilus is primarily an Au-Cu deposit, but contains minor amounts of Ag, Zn and Pb, (inc. trace Bi, Te, and Mo). Mineralization typically occurs around the margins of the Troilus Diorite in either the matrix of the diorite breccia, the diorite and the felsic dikes. Gold / copper can be disseminated and vein-hosted. Gold typically occurs with sulphides, although not correlated to grade. Disseminated gold occurs as fine grains of electrum, up to 20 µm wide along sulfide grain boundaries, and filling fractures within sulfide grains, containing up to 15 wt. % Ag. Vein-hosted mineralization is typically gold only, but these vein / veinlets can be observed across all host rocks on the property. J4 veins for example, host the most significant quartz-chlorite (±tourmaline) veins in higher strain zones, containing free gold and minor amounts of sulphide. Much of the gold is fine grained and contains up to 20% Ag, but can be coarser >1,000µm in size as well. Locally, a second set of gold-bearing quartz veinlets cut the first and carry fine grained gold (>95%) and sulphides. Whilst small in volume, these veins can see up to 1m widths and grades >50g/t Au, hence 30% of coarse gold is recovered by gravity in the PEA.


Figure 9. (A) Regional and (B) project geology plan views showing vein hosted Au vs disseminated in core



Southwest Zone

Discovered in 2019, the SW Zone is 3 km southwest of the Z87 Zone. The current interpretation, based on recent drilling, is that the SW Zone appears to be the nose of a synclinal fold with a gentle plunge to the northwest. Geology is comparable to the main deposits at Troilus, but key is the SW Zone is defined by two key mineralized zones: the 'Main Zone' (higher grades) and the 'West Zone' (lower grade), and have been interpreted to represent opposite limbs of a major regional syncline and two separate gold phases. **Higher grades** / alterations observed in Main Zone occur as a result of focused structural controls / fluid traps acting as a conduit for higher temperature alteration / mineral deposition. While only an early snapshot in Figure 10 below, the existing MRE sensitivities plus drilling to date show both the higher grade potential of mineralization at higher cut-offs and that it comes to surface.

Figure 10. Southwest Zone block model in isometric views before 40km of drilling completed post 3Q20 MRE



INFERRED

Cut-off AuEq (g/t)	Tonnes (Mt)	Gold Equivalent (g/t)	Gold (g/t)	Copper (%)	Silver (gt)	Contained Gold (Moz)	Contained Copper (Mlb)	Contained Silver (Moz)	Contained Silver AuEq (Moz)
2.0	0.9	2.41	1.99	0.31	2.86	60,000	6,411,000	87,000	73,000
1.5	2.4	2.01	1.70	0.22	2.13	129,000	11,636,000	162,000	152,000
1.0	4.9	1.59	1.39	0.15	1.60	219,000	16,260,000	253,000	252,000
0.9	5.9	1.49	1.30	0.14	1.49	245,000	17,607,000	281,000	281,000
0.8	7.5	1.35	1.18	0.12	1.34	285,000	19,725,000	323,000	325,000
0.7	9.3	1.23	1.08	0.11	1.22	324,000	21,573,000	366,000	368,000
0.6	12.0	1.10	0.97	0.09	1.12	374,000	25,082,000	435,000	425,000
0.5	15.7	0.97	0.85	0.08	1.02	430,000	29,139,000	516,000	490,000
0.4	20.1	0.86	0.75	0.08	0.94	485,000	33,596,000	604,000	554,000
0.3	22.6	0.80	0.70	0.07	0.89	509,000	35,731,000	649,000	583,000

Source: Troilus

## DISCLOSURES & DISCLAIMERS

This research report (as defined in IIROC Rule 3600, Part B) is issued and approved for distribution in Canada by Sprott Capital Partners LP (“SCP”), an investment dealer who is a member of the Investment Industry Regulatory Organization of Canada (“IIROC”) and the Canadian Investor Protection Fund (“CIPF”). The general partner of SCP is Sprott Capital Partners GP Inc. and SCP is a wholly-owned subsidiary of Sprott Inc., which is a publicly listed company on the Toronto Stock Exchange under the symbol “SII”. Sprott Asset Management LP (“SAM”), a registered investment manager to the Sprott Funds and is an affiliate of SCP. This research report is provided to retail clients and institutional investors for information purposes only. The opinions expressed in this report are the opinions of the author and readers should not assume they reflect the opinions or recommendations of SCP’s research department. The information in this report is drawn from sources believed to be reliable but the accuracy or completeness of the information is not guaranteed, nor in providing it does SCP and/or affiliated companies or persons assume any responsibility or liability whatsoever. This report is not to be construed as an offer to sell or a solicitation of an offer to buy any securities. SCP accepts no liability whatsoever for any loss arising from any use or reliance on this research report or the information contained herein. Past performance is not a guarantee of future results, and no representation or warranty, expressed or implied, is made regarding future performance of any security mentioned in this research report. The price of the securities mentioned in this research report and the income they generate may fluctuate and/or be adversely affected by market factors or exchange rates, and investors may realize losses on investments in such securities, including the loss of investment principal. Furthermore, the securities discussed in this research report may not be liquid investments, may have a high level of volatility or may be subject to additional and special risks associated with securities and investments in emerging markets and/or foreign countries that may give rise to substantial risk and are not suitable for all investors. SCP may participate in an underwriting of, have a position in, or make a market in, the securities mentioned herein, including options, futures or other derivatives instruments thereon, and may, as a principal or agent, buy or sell such products.

**DISSEMINATION OF RESEARCH:** SCP’s research is distributed electronically through email or available in hard copy upon request. Research is disseminated concurrently to a pre-determined list of clients provided by SCP’s Institutional Sales Representative and retail Investment Advisors. Should you wish to no longer receive electronic communications from us, please contact [unsubscribe@sprott.com](mailto:unsubscribe@sprott.com) and indicate in the subject line your full name and/or corporate entity name and that you wish to unsubscribe from receiving research.

**RESEARCH ANALYST CERTIFICATION:** Each Research Analyst and/or Associate who is involved in the preparation of this research report hereby certifies that:

- The views and recommendations expressed herein accurately reflect his/her personal views about any and all of the securities or issuers that are the subject matter of this research report;
- His/her compensation is not and will not be directly related to the specific recommendations or view expressed by the Research analyst in this research report;
- They have not affected a trade in a security of any class of the issuer within the 30-day period prior to the publication of this research report;
- They have not distributed or discussed this Research Report to/with the issuer, investment banking group or any other third party except for the sole purpose of verifying factual information; and
- They are unaware of any other potential conflicts of interest.

**UK RESIDENTS:** Sprott Partners UK Limited (“Sprott”) is an appointed representative of PillarFour Securities LLP which is authorized and regulated by the Financial Conduct Authority. This document has been approved under section 21(1) of the FMSA 2000 by PillarFour Securities LLP (“PillarFour”) for communication only to eligible counterparties and professional clients as those terms are defined by the rules of the Financial Conduct Authority. Its contents are not directed at UK retail clients. PillarFour does not provide investment services to retail clients. PillarFour publishes this document as non-independent research which is a marketing communication under the Conduct of Business rules. It has not been prepared in accordance with the regulatory rules relating to independent research, nor is it subject to the prohibition on dealing ahead of the dissemination of investment research. It does not constitute a personal recommendation and does not constitute an offer or a solicitation to buy or sell any security. Sprott and PillarFour consider this note to be an acceptable minor non-monetary benefit as defined by the FCA which may be received without charge. This is because the content is either considered to be commissioned by Sprott’s clients as part of their advisory services to them or is short term market commentary. Neither Sprott nor PillarFour nor any of its directors, officers, employees or agents shall have any liability, howsoever arising, for any error or incompleteness of fact or opinion in it or lack of care in its preparation or publication; provided that this shall not exclude liability to the extent that this is impermissible under the law relating to financial services. All statements and opinions are made as of the date on the face of this document and are not held out as applicable thereafter. This document is intended for distribution only in those jurisdictions where PillarFour is permitted to distribute its research.

**IMPORTANT DISCLOSURES FOR U.S. PERSONS:** This research report was prepared by Sprott Capital Partners LP (“SCP”), a company authorized to engage in securities activities in Canada. SCP is not a registered broker/dealer in the United States and, therefore, is not subject to U.S. rules regarding the preparation of research reports and the independence of research analysts. This research report is provided for distribution to “major U.S. institutional investors” in reliance on the exemption from registration provided by Rule 15a-6 of the U.S. Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the “Exchange Act”). Any U.S. recipient of this research report wishing to effect any transaction to buy or sell securities or related financial instruments based on the information provided in this research report should do so only through Sprott Global Resource Investments Ltd. (“SGRIL”), a broker dealer in the United States registered with the Securities Exchange Commission (“SEC”), the Financial Industry Authority (“FINRA”), and a member of the Securities Investor Protection Corporation (“SIPC”). Under no circumstances should any recipient of this research report effect any transaction to buy or sell securities or related financial instruments through SCP.

SGRIL accepts responsibility for the contents of this research report, subject to the terms set out below, to the extent that it is delivered to a U.S. person other than a major U.S. institutional investor. The analyst whose name appears in this research report is not licensed, registered, or qualified as a research analyst with FINRA and may not be an associated person of SGRIL and, therefore, may not be subject to applicable restrictions under FINRA Rule 2241 regarding communications by a research analyst with a subject company, public appearances by the research analyst, and trading securities held by a research analyst account. To make further inquiries related to this report, United States residents should contact their SGRIL representative.

**ANALYST CERTIFICATION / REGULATION AC:** The analyst and associate certify that the views expressed in this research report accurately reflect their personal views about the subject securities or issuers. In addition, the analyst and associate certify that no part of their compensation was, is, or will be directly or indirectly related to the specific recommendations or views expressed in this research report.

**SPROTT CAPITAL PARTNERS EXPLANATION OF RECOMMENDATIONS:** Should SCP issue research with recommendations, the research rating guidelines will be based on the following recommendations:

**BUY:** The stocks total returns are expected to be materially better than the overall market with higher return expectations needed for more risky securities markets

**NEUTRAL:** The stock's total returns are expected to be in line with the overall market

**SELL:** The stocks total returns are expected to be materially lower than the overall market

**TENDER:** The analyst recommends tendering shares to a formal tender offering

**UNDER REVIEW:** The stock will be placed under review when there is a significant material event with further information pending; and/or when the research analyst determines it is necessary to await adequate information that could potentially lead to a re-evaluation of the rating, target price or forecast; and/or when coverage of a particular security is transferred from one analyst to another to give the new analyst time to reconfirm the rating, target price or forecast.

**NOT RATED ((N/R):** The stock is not currently rated

Research Disclosure		Response
1	SCP and its affiliates collectively beneficially owns 1% or more of any class of the issuer's equity securities <sup>1</sup>	YES
2	The analyst or any associate of the analyst responsible for the report or recommendation or any individual directly involved in the preparation of the report holds or is short any of the issuer's securities directly or through derivatives	NO
3	An SCP partner, director, officer or analyst involved in the preparation of a report on the issuer, has during the preceding 12 months provided services to the issuer for remuneration other than normal course investment advisory or trading execution services	NO
4	SCP has provided investment banking services for the issuer during the 12 months preceding the date of issuance of the research report or recommendation	NO
5	Name of any director, officer, employee or agent of SCP who is an officer, director or employee of the issuer, or who serves in an advisory capacity to the issuer	NO
6	SCP is making a market in an equity or equity related security of the issuer	NO
7	The analyst preparing this report received compensation based upon SCP's investment banking revenue for the issuer	NO
8	The analyst has conducted a site visit and has viewed a major facility or operation of the issuer	YES
9	The analyst has been reimbursed for travel expenses for a site visit by the issuer	NO

Sprott Capital Partners Equity Research Ratings:

Summary of Recommendations as of March 2022	
BUY:	54
HOLD:	1
SELL:	0
UNDER REVIEW:	0
TENDER:	1
NOT RATED:	0
TOTAL	56

<sup>1</sup> As at the end of the month immediately preceding the date of issuance of the research report or the end of the second most recent month if the issue date is less than 10 calendar days after the end of the most recent month